

Docket No.: 242959US8/phm

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF: Takatoshi OKAGAWA, et al.

SERIAL NUMBER: 10/666,177

GROUP: 2619

FILED: September 22, 2003

EXAMINER: ROBERTS, BRIAN S.

FOR: MOBILE COMMUNICATION CONTROL SYSTEM, MOBILE
COMMUNICATION CONTROL METHOD, ROUTER, SERVER AND DATA
STRUCTURE

COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

Applicants acknowledge with appreciation the indication of Allowability of the claimed invention. In response to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance in the Notice of Allowability of August 11, 2008, Applicants respectfully submit the following comments.

In the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance on page 2 of the Notice of Allowability mailed August 11, 2008, paragraph 3, states in part:


“The primary reason independent claims 1, 7 and 8 are allowable because the prior record fails to teach or fairly suggest a system or method that includes a server comprising an address manager configured to manage a host address of a destination mobile terminal associated with a routing address of the destination mobile terminal; and an instructor configured to instruct a source router connected to a source mobile terminal via a radio circuit and a destination router connected to the destination mobile terminal via a radio circuit to store the host address of the destination mobile terminal associated with the routing address of the destination mobile terminal.” (emphasis added).

In this regard, it is respectfully submitted that independent method Claim 7 does not recite “an address manager configured to manage a host address” and/or “an instructor configured to instruct a source router”, for example, as may be implied and/or inferred by the Examiner's above statement (emphasis added).

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that while the above quoted statements by the Examiner apply to independent Claims 1 and 8, and Claims 2-6, 9, 10 and 17 the depend thereon, Applicants disagree with the Reasons for Allowance stated with respect to independent method Claim 7 which are not consistent with the language of the claim.

Respectfully Submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Bradley D. Lytle
Registration No. 40,073

Customer Number

22850

Tel. (703) 413-3000
Fax. (703) 413-2220
(OSMMN 05/04)

I:\ATTY\FLB\COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE\242959US.DOC

Fred L. Braun
Registration No. 56,123

Edward W. Tracy
Registration No. 47,998